

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,537	06/21/2001	Myron Spector	1194-176	2633
6449	7590 10/02/2002			
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800			GUCKER, STEPHEN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	09/885,53) Species et al.
	Examiner Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-
Peri df r Reply	3
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status /	<b>,</b>
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	102
☐ This action is FINAL.	
	ept for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
(Claim(s)   -2	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
•	
□ Ckáim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	ic/ara raiaatad
	is/are rejected.
© Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	is/are objected to.
© Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are ob	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are ob	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtout The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtout The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority I All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies I received.  Preceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 1)	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtour The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtour The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority all Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur received in this national stage application from the	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtout The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtout The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority I All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies I received.  Preceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 1)	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtour The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtour The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority all Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur received in this national stage application from the	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtout The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtout The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number is national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). sof the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtout The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtout The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority In All In Some* In None of the CERTIFIED copies In received.  In received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number Price Treceived in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:  Attachyn nt(s)	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  wing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved.  ojected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). sof the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Serial Number: 09/885,537 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

## Response to Amendment

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Any objections or rejections made in a previous Office Action that are not herein reinstated have been withdrawn.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9, 11-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Geislich et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,221,109 B1; "109") in light of Geislich et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,573,771; "771").

The '109 patent discloses a single sheet of collagen wrapped around the spinal cord to form a tube (see Figure 1). This tube may be made of Biogide (column 2, lines 4-9), thereby meeting the limitations of the instant claims (see also page 3 of the instant specification for more inherent features of Biogide). The Biogide tube may be filled with Bio-Oss Collagen (column 2, lines 49-52), the inherent features of which are disclosed in the '771 patent, column 2, lines 23-52. Bio-Oss Collagen may be impregnated with morphogenic and angiogenic factors ('771 patent, column 3, lines 56-58) which would act as a nerve growth stimulant (e.g. bone morphogenic factors or proteins (BMPs) are known in the art to be neurotrophic). Note that the Biogide sheet may be double or triple wrapped around the spinal cord, forming a tube filled with

Serial Number: 09/885,537

Art Unit: 1647

longitudinal collagen fibers from the multiple wrappings (see Figures 3-5 of the "109 patent), in addition to fibers being formed in the Bio-Oss Collagen filling.

4. Claims 1-2, 5-7, 9-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shizmu for reasons of record and the following.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because Applicant argues that Shizmu teaches a sidewall with three layers with the central layer being made of a non-collagen material (page 4 of Amendment A). However, Shizmu also discloses a pure collagen sidewall without the use of any non-collagen materials (column 6, line 48 to column 7, line 50).

5. Claims 1, 5, and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shizmu as applied above in view of Tongue et al. for reasons of record and the following.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because Applicant argues that Shizmu teaches a sidewall with three layers with the central layer being made of a non-collagen material (page 4 of Amendment A). However, Shizmu also discloses a pure collagen sidewall without the use of any non-collagen materials (column 6, line 48 to column 7, line 50).

6. Claims 1 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shizmu as applied above in view of Geistlich et al. (US 5,837,278) for reasons of record and the following.

Serial Number: 09/885,537 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because Applicant argues that Shizmu teaches a sidewall with three layers with the central layer being made of a non-collagen material (page 4 of Amendment A). However, Shizmu also discloses a pure collagen sidewall without the use of any non-collagen materials (column 6, line 48 to column 7, line 50).

7. Claims 1, 15-17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shizmu as applied above in view of Stensaas et al. for reasons of record and the following.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because Applicant argues that Shizmu teaches a sidewall with three layers with the central layer being made of a non-collagen material (page 4 of Amendment A). However, Shizmu also discloses a pure collagen sidewall without the use of any non-collagen materials (column 6, line 48 to column 7, line 50).

- 8. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent on a rejected claim but would be allowable if written in independent form incorporating the limitations of the base claim.
- 9. No claim is allowed.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-6571. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 0930 to 1800. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached

Serial Number: 09/885,537

Art Unit: 1647

on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone number for this Group is currently (703) 308-4242, but Applicant should confirm this by phoning the Examiner before faxing.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

26

Stephen Gucker

October 1, 2002

GARY KUNZ

MEGRY PATENT EXAM

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600